UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Case: 2:25-cr-20555

Assigned To: Michelson, Laurie J. Referral Judge: Altman, Kimberly G.

Assign. Date: 07/23/2025

Description: IND USA V ABBAS FALITH ALSHAMARI (LLH)

ABBAS FALITH ALSHAMARI,

Violation:

18 U.S.C. § 875(c)

Defendant.	

INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges:

v.

COUNT ONE

18 U.S.C. § 875(c)

Interstate Communications—Threats

On or about and between June 14, 2025, and June 25, 2025, in the Eastern District of Michigan, the defendant, ABBAS FALITH ALSHAMARI, knowingly transmitted, in interstate commerce, a communication containing threats to injure the person of another. Specifically, for the purpose of issuing a threat and with knowledge that the communication would be viewed as a threat, ALSHAMARI sent a series of email messages from his Google electronic mail account with

username "abbasalsha[xxxxx]@gmail.com" containing threats directed towards federal law enforcement officers. All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(c).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS 18 U.S.C. § 981 and 28 U.S.C. § 2461

The allegations contained in Count One of this Indictment are hereby re-alleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) together with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.

Upon conviction of the offense charged in Count One of this Indictment, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(c), the defendant shall forfeit to the United States any property which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the offense, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), together with Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.

Substitute Assets: If the property described above as being subject to forfeiture, as a result of any act or omission of defendant:

- (a) Cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- (b) Has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;

- (c) Has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
- (d) Has been substantially diminished in value; or
- (e) Has been commingled with other property that cannot be subdivided without difficulty; it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p) as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461, to seek to forfeit any other property of defendant up to the value of the forfeitable property described above.

THIS IS A TRUE BILL.

s/Grand Jury Foreperson
Grand Jury Foreperson

JEROME F. GORGON JR. United States Attorney

s/Craig F. Wininger

Craig F. Wininger
Chief, Violent and Organized Crime Unit

s/Blake S. Hatlem

Blake S. Hatlem Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: July 23, 2025

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Cover Sheet		Case Number:	
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Ass	istant U.S. Attorney sign	ing this form to con	nplete it accurately in all respects.	
Companion Case Information Comp		Companion	anion Case Number:	
This may be a companion case be LCrR 57.10(b)(4) ¹ :				
□Yes ☑No	AUSA's In		nitials: s/B.H.	
Case Title: USA v. Abba	s Alshamari			
County where offense oc	nse occurred: Wayne		Case: 2:25-cr-20555 Assigned To : Michelson, Laurie J. Referral Judge: Altman, Kimberly G.	
Offense Type: Felony		Accia	Assign. Date: 07/23/2025 Description: IND USA V ABBAS FALITH ALSHAMARI (LLH)	
Indictment prior compla	int - Case No. 25-M		iption: IND USA V ABBAS FACITIFICES (1981) 1884 (EEE.)	
Superseding Case Information				
Superseding to Case No:		Jud	ge:	
Reason:				
Defendant Name	<u>Charges</u>		Prior Complaint (if applicable)	
Please take notice that the below above captioned case	listed Assistant Un		rney is the attorney of record for the	
July 23, 2025		Giale Hotel By break lording		
Date Blake Hatlem Assistant United States Attorney 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001 Detroit, MI 48226 Blake.Hatlem@usdoj.gov (313) 226-9613 Bar #: P58969			reet, Suite 2001 226 Jusdoj.gov	

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.